1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR., CASE NO. 24-1878 BHS 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 CHERYL STRANGE, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Grady J. Leupold's 14 Report and Recommendation (R&R), Dkt. 5, recommending the Court dismiss without 15 prejudice and without leave to amend pro se prisoner plaintiff John Demos's proposed 16 complaint, Dkt. 1, and deny Demos's motions to "set the record straight," Dkt. 3, and to 17 supplement the pleadings and for the appointment of counsel, Dkt. 4. 18 A district court "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed finding or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 19 20 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (emphasis added); accord Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). It must modify or set aside any portion of the order that is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. Fed. R. 21 Civ. P. 72(a). The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended 22

disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with 1 2 instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations de 3 novo if objection is made, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 4 5 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (citing § 636(b)(1)(C)). A proper objection requires "specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 7 72(b)(2). Demos has not objected to the R&R, and its recommended dismissal is neither 8 9 clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. The R&R is therefore **ADOPTED**. Demos's 10 complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without leave to amend. His motions, 11 Dkts 3 and 4, are **DENIED** as moot. 12 The Clerk shall enter a **JUDGMENT** and close the case. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 Dated this 7th day of January, 2025. 15 16 17 United States District Judge 18 19 20 21 22